® A	AO 47	472 (Rev. 12/03) Order of Detention Pending Trial		
		UNITED STAT	TES DIST	RICT COURT
		WESTERN I	District of _	NORTH CAROLINA
		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
		V.	ORI	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	S	SEAN CHRISTOPHER PETERSEN	Case	1:09 cr 06-1
		Defendant		
det		on of the defendant pending trial in this case.		g has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the
	(1)	Part I The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 or local offense that would have been a federal offense if □ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a) □ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life im □ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonme	a circumstance gives (4). Sometimes a circumstance gives (4). Sometimes are dealers are dealers (4).	1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state ring rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is th.
	(2)	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offer	enses.	wo or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
	(2)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the for the offense described in finding (1).		s on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. viction release of the defendant from imprisonment
	(4)) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further		ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the endant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alter	rnative Findings (A	A)
X	(1)) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has	committed an offer	ise .
		X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten ye	ears or more is pres	scribed in 21 U.S.C. § 841& 846
X	(2)	the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety	y of the community	
v	(1)		rnative Findings (l	3)
X	(1) (2)	,		person or the community.
		SEE ATTACHED ADDEND	OUM TO DETENT	ION ORDER
		Part II—Written Sta		
der	ance	e of the evidence that SEE ATTACHED ADDEND	DUM TO DETENT	JON OF DEE
		SEE ATTACHED ADDENL	JOM TO DETENT	ION ORDER
	he ex	the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Gener extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving senten- able opportunity for private consultation with defense counse	nces or being held asel. On order of a	g Detention d representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the

Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

> Date Signature of Judge Dennis L. Howell, United States Magistrate Judge Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA ASHEVILLE DIVISION

1:09 cr 06-1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Vs.	ADDENDUM TO
	DETENTION ORDER

SEAN CHRISTOPHER PETERSEN.

I. FACTORS CONSIDERED

18 U.S.C. § 3142:

- **(g) Factors to be considered.--**The judicial officer shall, in determining whether there are conditions of release that will reasonably assure the appearance of the person as required and the safety of any other person and the community, take into account the available information concerning--
- (1) The nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;
- (2) the weight of the evidence against the person;
- (3) the history and characteristics of the person, including--
 - (A) the person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and
 - **(B)** whether, at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence for an offense under Federal, State, or local law; and
- (4) the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release.

II. FINDINGS

As to factor:

- (g)(1): The nature and circumstances of the offense charged involve a controlled substance, that being MDMA, a/k/a ecstasy.
- (g)(2): The weight of the evidence against the person appears to be strong and significant. Special Agent Tyler Lewis of the Department of Homeland Security testified that he had received information from an officer in Philadelphia that a large quantity of ecstasy was being forwarded to the defendant by Federal Express. Special Agent obtained a search warrant, went to the Federal Express office in Asheville, NC with a K-9 unit from the Asheville Police Dept. The canine which had been trained to detect the scent of controlled substances alerted upon a package that was addressed to the defendant and over 900 grams of ecstasy was found within the package. The ecstasy had a street value, in the opinion of Agent Lewis, of \$225,000. The package was then resealed and delivered to the defendant. The defendant accepted the package and a short time later another search warrant for the search of the defendant's home was served and the package and controlled substance was found in the defendant's dwelling house.
- (g)(3): The history and characteristics of the person
- (A) Family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history and record concerning appearance at court appearances indicate that the defendant has family ties in Chesapeake, VA. The defendant's employment is either as a musician-singer or as a representative and writer for a magazine. The defendant's financial resources are limited. The defendant has resided in Buncombe County, NC since February of 2007. The defendant's history relating to drug or alcohol abuse shows that the defendant has reported a history of use of alcohol, marijuana, cocaine, LSD, ecstasy and other controlled substances. In regard to the defendant's criminal history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, the defendant has the following convictions:

<u>Offense</u>	Conviction Date
Possession of up to ½ oz marijuana	08/22/01
Sell of drugs on or near school property or a library, VA	08/23/02
Manufacture, sell, possession of a controlled substance, VA	08/23/02
Misdemeanor possession or distribution of controlled substance	
paraphernalia, VA	01/07/05

The defendant's criminal history is as noted above.

The defendant's record concerning appearance at court appearances shows that there is an order for arrest that was issued in Dare County, NC for the arrest of the defendant on November 19, 2002 and the order for arrest is still outstanding.

(B) At the time of the current offense or arrest, the defendant was on probation, parole or other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal or completion of sentence. The court is unable to determine accurately whether or not the defendant is still subject to the terms and conditions of probation upon which he was placed on August 21, 2001 in Dare County, NC and therefore does not find that this factor exists.

(g)(4): The nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release indicate that the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community. The crime with which the defendant is charged creates a presumption that the release of the defendant would create a risk of flight on his part and the release of the defendant would create a risk of harm or danger to any other person or the community. This is a rebuttable presumption. The undersigned finds that the presumption has not been rebutted. The defendant has two previous felony convictions involving the distribution of controlled substances. He has two misdemeanor convictions involving controlled substances. The evidence shows the defendant had obtained over 900 grams of the drug ecstacy which had a street value of \$225,000. This evidence shows that the defendant had not obtained the controlled substance for personal use but had obtained the drug for the purpose of sale. The defendant does not have any reportable income and that evidence shows that the defendant has been making his living selling and distributing controlled substances. The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the release of the defendant would create a harm or danger to any other person or the community and would create a risk of flight on his part. These presumptions have not been rebutted. The undersigned has determined to enter an order detaining the defendant.

WHEREFORE, it is ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending further proceedings in this matter.

Signed: March 16, 2009

Dennis L. Howell United States Magistrate Judge